## Numerical Simulation of Laser Welding

03/22/2026

Laser welding is a cornerstone of modern manufacturing, particularly in the automotive industry where it enables high-speed joining of dissimilar materials and complex geometries. Numerical simulation has evolved from a qualitative research tool to a quantitative predictive instrument. The primary challenge in simulating laser welding lies in the multi-physics nature of the process: it involves fluid dynamics, heat transfer, phase change (melting and vaporization), and optical ray-tracing. The fidelity of a simulation is governed by the accurate representation of the *keyhole* (vapor cavity) and the *melt pool* dynamics. The governing framework is typically based on the *Volume of Fluid (VOF)* method coupled with *Navier-Stokes* equations and heat transfer, solved via Finite Volume Method (FVM) or Finite Element Method (FEM).

### Governing Equations and Fundamental Assumptions

The mathematical framework for laser welding simulation is built upon the conservation laws. Below is a breakdown of the core equations, the assumptions underpinning them, and their significance.

*Conservation of Mass (Continuity)*

The general form of the continuity equation for an incompressible flow is:

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{u}) = 0$$

where $\rho$ is material density, $t$ time, and $\mathbf{u}$ is the velocity vector.

In most conduction-mode or keyhole-mode simulations (excluding plume dynamics), the Mach number is low ($M < 0.3$). Assuming a constant material density simplifies the pressure-velocity coupling. This assumption allows for faster computational convergence. However, it fails if vapor expansion in the keyhole exit is also modeled, which would require compressible solvers. For deep penetration welding, the evaporation rate is treated as a mass sink at the keyhole interface. This assumption is essential for recoil pressure calculation; without it the keyhole depth and weld pool stability may not be properly modeld.

*Conservation of Momentum (Navier-Stokes)*

The momentum equation, incorporating source terms specific to welding, is:

$$\frac{\partial (\rho \mathbf{u})}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}) = -\nabla P + \nabla \cdot (\mu \nabla \mathbf{u}) + \mathbf{S}_{momentum}$$

where $P$ is pressure, $\mu$ viscosity and $\mathbf{S}_{momentum}$ the so-called source term.

Early models assumed laminar flow. Current understanding recognizes that high *Marangoni* shear and keyhole oscillations cause turbulence flow. Modern simulations often use *Large Eddy Simulation (LES)* or *k-*$\epsilon$ turbulence models which improves prediction of spatter formation and porosity.

The source term ($\mathbf{S}_{momentum}$) includes buoyancy (*Boussinesq approximation*) $\rho g \beta (T - T_{ref})$. Density variation is ignored except in the gravity term. The source term drives natural convection, therefore affecting the penetration depth in the conduction mode.

---

Darcy’s Law is assumed for the solid-liquid mushy zone which is modeled as a porous medium:

$$K\frac{(1-f_l)^2}{f_l^3+\epsilon}\mathbf{u}$$

Mushy zone is crucial for predicting solidification cracking. Velocity damping is a frequently used technique as the liquid fraction,$f_l$, approaches zero to prevent numerical divergence of the model.

*Conservation of Energy (Heat Transfer)*
The enthalpy-based heat transfer equation is used including phase changes:

$$\frac{\partial(\rho H)}{\partial t}+\nabla\cdot(\rho\mathbf{u}H)=\nabla\cdot(k\nabla T)+S_{thermal}$$

where the total enthalpy $H = h_{sensible} + \Delta H_{latent}$ (latent heat of fusion).

The latent heat is incorporated via a source term $\Delta H_{latent}$. which eliminates the need to track the solid-liquid interface explicitly, allowing the model to handle complex dendritic mushy zones.
Most commercial models assume isotropic thermal conductivity in the melt pool. Ignoring anisotropic conductivity (due to grain orientation) can cause errors in weld pool width prediction by 10–15%.

*Keyhole Dynamics: Assumptions in Free Surface Tracking*
The keyhole is the defining feature of deep penetration laser welding. The free surface is tracked using the *Volume of Fluid (VOF)* method:

$$\frac{\partial\alpha}{\partial t}+\nabla\cdot(\mathbf{u}\alpha)=0$$

where $\alpha$ is the volume fraction (1 for metal, 0 for gas/vapor).

The force balance at the keyhole wall (free surface) is critical:

$$P_{recoil}+P_{capillary}+P_{hydrostatic}=\mathbf{n}\cdot\tau\cdot\mathbf{n}$$

where $P_{recoil} = 0.54P_{sat}(T)\exp\left(\frac{L_vM}{RT}\right)$
The Knudsen layer is assumed to be in equilibrium. This is the primary mechanism for keyhole formation. The laser heat input is not a simple Gaussian disk. Modern models use *ray tracing*:

$$q_{laser}=q_0\sum_{n=1}^{N}R^{n-1}\cdot\text{Fresnel absorption}(\theta)$$

The keyhole wall is a smooth Fresnel surface. Multiple reflections (Fresnel absorption) increase effective absorption from ~5% (flat surface) to 80–90% in deep keyholes.

Viscous shear and Marangoni flow is:

---

$$\tau = \mu \frac{\partial u_t}{\partial n}$$

The surface tension gradient $\frac{d\gamma}{dT}$ is assumed to be either constant or dependent on the surfactant (*e.g.*, sulfur) concentration. A negative $\frac{d\gamma}{dT}$ (typical for pure metals) causes outward flow, widening the pool. A positive gradient (due to surfactants) causes inward flow, increasing the penetration. This is the *Marangoni convection* paradox, which the simulation uses to predict weld pool shape.

## Additional Physical Assumptions

### *Vaporization and Plume Interaction*

High-fidelity simulations now attempt to couple the metal vapor plume dynamics with the laser beam. The "keyhole" is treated as a two-phase flow with phase change. The vapor plume can cause beam attenuation (inverse *Bremsstrahlung*) and refraction. Modern models (*e.g.*, ray-tracing coupled with CFD) allows to assume an attenuation coefficient of the laser beam.

### *Homogenization of the Mushy Zone*

The solid-liquid mixture in the mushy zone is treated as a homogeneous, isotropic porous medium. This allows the model to predict *hot cracking* susceptibility. By tracking the strain rate in the mushy zone ($\epsilon > \epsilon_{critical}$), where $f_l$ is between 0.1 and 0.9, simulations could identify crack-prone regions without resolving dendrite arm spacing, which is computationally expensive.

## Applications in the Automotive Industry

The automotive industry utilizes laser welding simulation to reduce physical prototyping costs, ensure structural integrity for lightweighting, and optimize high-volume manufacturing lines.

### *Dissimilar Material Joining (Steel to Aluminum)*

The automotive industry's drive for multi-material lightweight bodies (steel chassis + aluminum closures) presents a metallurgical challenge: the formation of brittle intermetallic compounds (IMCs) like $Fe_2Al_5$ and $FeAl_3$. at the weld joints.

Numerical simulation could control the melt pool mixing and heat input to limit IMC thickness to < 10 $\mu$ m, using multi-phase VOF models with species transport equations.

$$\frac{\partial(\rho C_{Al})}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{u} C_{Al}) = \nabla \cdot (\rho D \nabla C_{Al}) + S_{intermetallic}$$

The diffusion coefficient $D$ is enhanced by turbulent mixing in the melt pool. Simulations identify optimal laser offset (beam positioned towards the steel side) and oscillation patterns to control the temperature, ensuring the IMC layer remains thin and continuous.

### *Remote Laser Welding (Scanner Welding)*

In automotive body shops, remote laser welding uses galvanometer scanners to position the beam rapidly, reducing cycle times.

Simulation could predict weld seam geometry and distortion for complex 3D seams (e.g., door panels, seat structures) where the angle of incidence ($\theta$) varies dynamically. Finite Element (FE) structural simulations are coupled with thermal results from CFD or analytical heat source models (*e.g.*, Goldak's double ellipsoid adapted for conical keyholes). This allows engineers to predict angular distortion and residual stress in door rings or battery trays, ensuring that downstream assembly (*e.g.*, gluing) is within tolerance.

*Porosity Reduction in Battery Busbars*
With the rise of Electric Vehicles (EVs), welding copper and aluminum busbars is critical. Copper's high reflectivity and thermal conductivity cause severe porosity.

Simulations optimize beam oscillation (circular, or "figure-8") to stabilize the keyhole. The model assumes a dynamic mesh or VOF adaption to capture the keyhole's periodic closure. Numerical results could reveal if the "keyhole stability index" (ratio of keyhole depth oscillation to laser power modulation) exceed a threshold to prevent the entrapment of bubbles. This has led to the industrial adoption of beam wobbling to widen the keyhole opening, reducing the vapor expulsion velocity and stabilizing the keyhole.

*Heat-Affected Zone (HAZ) Softening in Advanced High-Strength Steels (AHSS) Automotive A-pillars and B-pillars use press-hardened steel (22MnB5)*
Models could predict the width of the softened zone (tempered martensite) in the HAZ. Thermo-metallurgical simulations use thermal cycles extracted from laser welding CFD models. These are fed into kinetic phase transformation models (*e.g., Johnson-Mehl-Avrami-Kolmogorov*). The transformation kinetics are decoupled from the fluid flow (*i.e.*, solid-state transformations occur after the melt pool solidifies). It will ensure that the weld's load-bearing capacity meets crashworthiness standards. Simulations allow engineers to adjust heat input or use preheating to keep the softened zone outside the primary strain path of the component.

## Concluding Remarks

The current understanding of laser welding numerical simulation is defined by the transition from simplistic heat conduction models to multi-physics frameworks that couple fluid dynamics, ray-tracing, and metallurgy. The key assumptions—ranging from the incompressibility of the melt to the Fresnel absorption of the keyhole wall—are not merely computational simplifications but are physical hypotheses that define the model's scope.

For the automotive industry, simulation has moved from a "look-and-see" tool to a critical component of the manufacturing engineering workflow. It enables the precise control required for EV battery manufacturing and lightweight structures. Future developments are trending towards AI-assisted surrogate models, where high-fidelity simulations generate training data for neural networks, allowing real-time process control and digital twins of the welding process on the production line.